Using matrix models to assess temporary closure strategies for small scale fisheries

Sophie Wulfing1*∗*, Ahilya Sudarshan Kadba1, Mez Baker-Médard2, and Easton R. White1 1Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Hampshire, 03824, NH, USA

2Department of Environmental Studies, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT 05753

\* Corresponding authors: Sophie Wulfing (SophieWulfing@gmail.com) and Dr. Easton White (Easton.White@unh.edu)

1  **1 ABSTRACT**

2 Mechanistic models are particularly useful for understanding life history metrics and population dynamics in

3 data deficient species. Data deficiency is a relevant issue in small scale fisheries as they are generally under

4 studied and underrepresented in global fishing datasets. One approach to address sustainability concerns is

5 through the use of temporary spatial closures. The blue octopus (*Octopus cyanea*) fishery off the southwest

6 coast of Madagascar is one such system that uses temporary closures to conserve an understudied species.

7 As the Mozambique channel consists of strong eddies and little throughflow, the *O. cyanea* caught in this

8 channel can be considered a distinct population as larval dispersal is largely controlled by ocean currents.

9 This fishery is a key economic resource for the local community as blue octopus catch is sold by local fishers

10 to international and local export markets and is a major component of fisher income. To better understand

11 the biology and assess the sustainability of blue octopus, we parameterize a Levkovitch population matrix

12 model using existing catch data. We found that the octopus population was experiencing a 1.8% decline

13 per month at the time of data collection in 2006. However, since 2006, a number of management practices,

14 including temporary closures lasting several weeks to several months have been implemented successfully.

15 In line with these efforts, our model indicates that the fishery would need to close for two to three months

16 annually for the fishery to be sustainable. Our model provides support to the idea that temporary closures

17 have restored this population and that temporary closures provide flexibilty in management strategies that

18 local communities can tailor to their economic and social needs. In addition, we were able to estimate several

19 important life history metrics, such as time in each stage, stable stage distribution, reproductive value, and

20 per stage survivability, that can be used in future work. Collectively, our study provides insight into the

21 biology of blue octopus as well as demonstrate how temporary closures can be an effective conservation

22 strategy due to the wide range of implementation options.

23 Keywords: *Octopus Cyanea*, matrix model, small scale fisheries, Madagascar, temporary closures

24  **2 INTRODUCTION**

25 Mechanistic models in ecology explicitly account for species life histories, behavioral, or other mechanisms

26 to describe how a population or community may change over time (Briggs-Gonzalez et al., 2016). Biological

27 processes are therefore hypothesized in the model, and each parameter represents these mechanisms and

28 can be measured independently of the data collected. Population matrix models are a commonly used

29 mechanistic model to predict future population dynamics by splitting the life history of the study organism

30 up into a Leslie Matrix (Leslie, 1945) where a population is split up into groups of ages, and a transformation

31 matrix is applied to predict what the population makeup will be in future years. However, these models

32 require extremely in-depth data collection to inform each entry of the model, such as yearly survival rate

33 based on age. This is not a reality for many organisms where these kind of data cannot be collected due to

34 the difficulty in monitoring some species in yearly increments (Crouse et al., 1987) and for organisms that

35 have long larval stages, where calculating survival probabilities for this time is nearly impossible (Gharouni

36 et al., 2015).

37 Instead, Lefkovitch matrices are specialized matrices are used for “structured populations” – populations

38 in which individuals can be categorized based on age, stage, weight or length. Each unit of the matrix

39 represents a distinct period of the organism’s life where it is subject to different environments, pressures, or

40 physical attributes that would alter the survival and reproductive output at that phase, but the amount of

41 time between each stage is now variable. They use demographic rates to create a projection matrix – a square

42 matrix where the number of rows and columns are equivalent to the number of life stages. These models can

43 be important in situations without existing long-term data, when future conditions may not be similar to the

44 past, and when different scenarios or actions need to be simulated and assessed (Crouse et al., 1987; Nowlis,

45 2000; Gharouni et al., 2015). Thus, Lefkovitch matrix models play a critical role in studying the biology of

46 cryptic species, and making informed conservation decisions, such as the management of small-scale fisheries.

47 Worldwide, 32 million fishers make their livelihood in small-scale fisheries, a subsector in which 90 to 95%

48 of fish is distributed for local consumption. These marine products are a vital source of nutrition for

49 these communities (The World Bank, 2012). The southwest region of Madagascar is one such area where

50 subsistence fishing is an essential component to the diet and income of the local community. The ocean

51 environment off the southwest coast of Madagascar is home to a wide variety of marine life, as extensive

52 tidal flats, seagrass beds, and coral reefs are all prominent biomes in the area. In fact, Madagascar has been

53 calculated as a country that would benefit greatly from marine conservation given its economic reliance on

54 marine harvests and the fact that it is a refuge to many marine species (Laroche et al., 1997). In the early

55 2000’s, however, Madagascar’s octopus fishery began to move from local, subsistence fishing to also selling

56 catch to export markets (Humber et al., 2006). There is evidence that up to 75% of all fish caught in select

57 villages is now sold to outside entities for international export (Baker-Médard, 2017).

58 Locally-Managed Marine Areas (LMMAs) are defined as coastal and near-shore fisheries in which resources

59 are managed almost entirely by local communities and fishery stakeholders that live in the region. Because

60 management is conducted by those directly affected by the fishery, goals typically include maintaining the

61 livelihood and economic and cultural goals of the local community along with environmental goals (Govan,

62 2010). LMMAs have grown in popularity among conservationists in small scale fisheries due to this empower-

63 ment of local fishers. Because of this, LMMAs tend to have greater local participation and compliance from

64 stakeholders when compared to top-down regulation from governing bodies (Katikiro et al., 2015). LMMAs

65 have been shown to improve both fisheries and fisher livelihoods in Kenya (Kawaka et al., 2017), Pacific

66 Islands (Govan, 2010), and in Madagascar (Mayol, 2013). In Madagascar, the use of LMMAs has increased

67 significantly since 2004, and fishers in the country have seen significant improvements to fish stocks as well

68 as have experienced economic benefits since (Benbow & Harris, 2011; Gilchrist et al., 2020). In order to

69 protect fishing resources, these LMMAs instituted various conservation programs such as bans on certain

70 types of fishing gear, implemented seasonal fishing regulations, and criminalized the harvest of endangered

71 species.

72 One commonly used conservation strategy in LMMAs in Madagascar are seasonal closures. These types

73 of reserves have a long history of use and have been seen to successfully rehabilitate stocks (Camp et al.,

74 2015; Gnanalingam & Hepburn, 2015). For example, seasonal closures have been shown to be an effective

75 conservation strategy in increasing biomass the Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) fishery in

76 the United States (Bethoney & Cleaver, 2019), restored natural trophic interactions in coral reef fisheries

77 in Kenya (McClanahan, 2008), and successfully restored the striped marlin (*Kajikia audax*) stocks in Baja

78 California (Jensen et al., 2010). This method is flexible, logistically simple for fishers and managers to

79 understand, and mitigates the financial loss from the fishery that can be seen with permanent closures

80 (Nowlis, 2000; Humber et al., 2006; Cohen & Foale, 2013; Camp et al., 2015; Gnanalingam & Hepburn,

81 2015; Oliver et al., 2015).

82 Octopus are a vital part of many ocean ecosystems and, compared to other fisheries, have a unique life

83 history that can lead to distinct and variable population dynamics. Cephalopods act as both predators and

84 prey in an ecosystem (Rodhouse & Nigmatullin, 1996; Santos et al., 2001; Vase et al., 2021), situating them

85 in a key role in food webs. Further, their abundance varies drastically with a wide range of ocean conditions

86 including sea surface and bottom temperature, salinity, currents, and sediment type (Catalán et al., 2006;

87 Ibáñez et al., 2019; Van Nieuwenhove et al., 2019). Compared to other exploited marine organisms, octopus

88 have a short lifespan coupled with a fast reproduction rate and high fecundity which makes their populations

89 more responsive to fishing pressures (Langley, 2005; Humber et al., 2006). Increased fishing pressure due to

90 globalization of the blue octopus in 2003 has since added significant fishing pressure to Madagascar’s blue

91 octopus populations and yield from this fishery subsequently decreased in regions of this island such as the

92 southwest region of Toliara (Langley, 2005; Humber et al., 2006). However, previous temporary closures

93 on the fishery resulted in population increases, indicating that this fishery has the ability to recover when

94 fishing pressure is decreased (Humber et al., 2006; Katsanevakis & Verriopoulos, 2006; Benbow et al., 2014).

95 However, right after reopening, stocks began to decline again, which has been attributed to heavy fishing

96 pressure right after reopening (Humber et al., 2006; Benbow et al., 2014; Oliver et al., 2015). Octopus

97 populations are therefore sensitive to both the increase and alleviation of fishing pressure and understanding

98 their biology and how these population dynamics will react to changes in fishing pressure is a key component

99 to effective conservation of this resource.

100 *Octopus cyanea*, or blue octopus, is the most abundant cephalopod species in the western Indian Ocean and

101 is caught in about 95% of local landings in Madagascar (Humber et al., 2006; Oliver et al., 2015). Like

102 other cephalopod species, very little is known about their life history including natural death rate, larval

103 survivability, and how much time this species remains in each stage of maturity. Further, age is difficult to

104 determine from size alone as they have variable growth rates up to maturity (Wells & Wells, 1970; Heukelem,

105 1976; Herwig et al., 2012; Raberinary & Benbow, 2012). The *O. cyanea* that live in the southwest region of

106 Madagascar have been shown to be genetically distinct from those outside of Madagascar (Van Nieuwenhove

107 et al., 2019). This is because the ocean currents in the Channel are comprised primarily of eddies with very

108 little through-flow across the Channel (Schott & McCreary, 2001; Lutjeharms et al., 2012; Hancke et al.,

109 2014). As larval dispersion is primarily controlled by ocean currents, and *O. cyanea* does not migrate across

110 long distances, this shows that the *O. cyanea* in Madagascar where the data was collected can be considered

111 a distinct population (Van Nieuwenhove et al., 2019).

112 Size limits have been shown to be effective methods of conservation of species like *Octopus cyanea* that are

113 harvested before maturity, and are restrictions that are easy to understand and implement in small scale

114 fisheries (Nowlis, 2000). However, even though this is a conservation strategy often implemented in octopus

115 fisheries, it has been shown to be less effective than instituting an overall cap on fishing effort, such as effort

116 rotation or limiting the number if fishers (Emery et al., 2016). To protect this species, size limits have

117 been imposed on blue octopus catch in Madagascar, but these regulations are difficult in practice, as the

118 fishing method used to harvest octopus involves spearing the octopus’s den and extracting the octopus from

119 the den. Blue octopus therefore typically die before size can be assessed, so octopus too small for market

120 sale are typically harvested for household consumption (Humber et al., 2006). Further, the relationship

121 between size and maturity stage is not strongly correlated (Raberinary & Benbow, 2012) and as a result,

122 size restrictions wouldn’t necessarily protect the individuals ready to reproduce and would be difficult to

123 implement in the field both due to the biology of *O. cyanea* and the characteristics of this small scale fishery.

124 Therefore, temporary closures have been shown to be a more practical method of octopus conservation in

125 that they can replenish stocks while maintaining fisher income (Benbow et al., 2014). Temporary closures

126 provide many options for their duration and intensity (in other words, how much fishing can occur during

127 a closure). However, this requires a deeper understanding of the biology and population characteristics

128 of *O. cyanea* in this fishery in order to be properly instituted. Instituting effective temporary closures in

129 octopus fisheries can be difficult due to their short lifespan, high mortality, and sensitivity to environmental

130 conditions (Catalán et al., 2006; Emery et al., 2016; Ibáñez et al., 2019; Van Nieuwenhove et al., 2019).

131 Lack of field data and difficulty of enforcement has also been a challenge in octopus fisheries, especially in

132 Madagascar (Emery et al., 2016; Benbow et al., 2014). This indicates that a thorough understanding of

133 the life history of *O. cyanea* and the harvest methods employed by fishers is necessary to enact meaningful

134 fishing restrictions. The western Madagascar region currently institutes a yearly closure of six weeks from

135 December 15 to January 31. In addition to the regional closure, individual villages institute their own local

136 closures once a year, lasting from six weeks to seven months. These closures do not completely restrict

137 octopus fishing, but instead institute an area where fishing is not allowed which takes up about 25% of the

138 fishery’s spatial extent. Therefore, some octopus harvest does occur even during one of these closures (Aina,

139 2009; Langley, 2005; Humber et al., 2006; Benbow & Harris, 2011; Westerman & Benbow, 2014; Oliver et

140 al., 2015; Rocliffe & Harris, 2015, 2016; WWF, 2017).

141 In this paper, we have three goals: 1) we will fit a Levkovitch matrix to the limited available data on *Octopus*

142 *cyanea* populations in southwestern Madagascar, 2) as well as create a theoretical estimation of the species’

143 life history traits in different stages of its development and 3) determine the frequency and length in which

144 these temporary closures should take place to maximize population health of the fishery and maximizing

145 catch for the local community, and show how temporary closures can be an effective conservation strategy

146 as well as demonstrate the numerous options available when deciding the length and intensity of closures.

147 This study is not meant to be a current stock assessment of this fishery as local communities have taken

148 numerous steps to conserve blue octopus since the time of data collection.

149  **3 METHODS**

150 As *Octopus cyanea* has an extended larval phase and there is no existing data on the age structure of this

151 population of octopus, we use a stage-based population matrix, otherwise known as a Lefkovitch matrix

152 (Caswell, 2001). Here, the life history of the study organism is grouped by stages (Figure [1),](#_bookmark0) where each unit

153 of the matrix represents a distinct period of the organism’s life where it is subject to different environments,

154 pressures, or physical attributes that would alter the survival and reproductive output at that phase, but the

155 amount of time between each stage is variable. This would simply create different inputs for the probability of



 

Figure 1: A graph representing the life history of *O. Cyanea* and the subsequent Lefkovitch Matrix where i corresponds with each of the stages of maturity (Immature, Incipient Mature, Mature, and Fully Mature individuals, respectively). *Pi* corresponds to probability of surviving and staying within a stage. *Gi* is the probability of surviving and growing to the next stage. *Fi* is the reproductive output of stage i.

156 remaining in the same stage, and the growth and fecundity inputs can be based on available data. Lefkovitch

157 matrices have not yet been applied to *O. cyanea* populations and therefore could be a useful methodology

158 to understand the dynamics of this population in the western Indian Ocean to better inform management

159 strategies.

160  **3.1 Data**

161 To inform our model, we use data collected by Raberinary & Benbow (2012) from landings ranging from

162 the villages of Ampasilava in the south to Andragnombala in the north which spans about 30 kilometers

163 of coastline. These villages are located along the Mozambique channel, where a lack of through current

164 and prevalence of eddies results in a genetically distinct population of *O. cyanea* (Van Nieuwenhove et al.,

165 2019). In these villages, fishers usually fish along both reef flats and deeper barrier reefs. Fishers bring catch

166 onshore either for household consumption or to sell to buyers for international export. This study collected

167 landing data from February 2005 to February 2006 through daily surveying fishers as they landed onshore

168 within a two hour window. They separated each octopus into five age classes: immature, incipient maturity,

169 maturity, full maturity, and post laying. In this paper we omit stage five, post laying, from this model as

170 blue octopus only brood once, and stage five individuals therefore do not contribute to population growth.

171 They recorded octopus weight, weight and length of gonads, sex, and a visual assessment of maturity class.

172 A subsample of octopus were also collected for octopus length, and laboratory assessment of gonads for a

173 confirmation of maturity class. They gathered this data on a total of 3,253 octopuses, and for the purposes

174 of this study, we model from the 1,578 females collected. Despite there being no standardization for catch

175 effort being available for this dataset, no other maturity stage study has been conducted on this population

176

177

of *O. cyanea* and is therefore the best available data to fit a Lefkovitch matrix.




Figure 2: Stage-based population matrix calculated using Wood’s quadratic programming method and pa- rameterized using data from Raberinary and Benbow (2012).

**3.2 Model Parameterization**

178 In order to parameterize this model, we use Wood’s Quadratic Programming method (Caswell, 2001). Other

179 methods required longer time series than were available to us, were extremely sensitive to noise in the data,

180 or simply resulted in matrices that had no reasonable biological interpretation (Caswell, 2001). One strength

181 of Woods Quadratic Programming is it allows for constraining parameters to be within certain ranges. For

182 example, we can constrain all parameters to be greater than zero, place zeros in the solution matrix to reflect

183 *Octopus cyanea* biology, and ensure that all *Pi* and *Gi* parameters don’t add up to more than 1, which would

184 imply that individuals in stage i are somehow multiplying themselves. The matrices then become quadratic

185 equations that are solved through sum of squares minimization while also remaining within these constraints.

186 We estimate a preliminary stage-based matrix model (Figure [2)](#_bookmark1) based on Raberinary and Benbow (2012)

187 data and calculated using the quadprog package in R (Turlach & Weingessel, 2019). We assessed model

188 estimates by comparing life history values inferred from the matrix with existing literature on *O. cyanea*

189 life history (Table [1).](#_bookmark2) As all of our values calculated from the matrix fall within the known attributes of

190 this species, we are confident that this model gave an accurate mechanistic description for this population’s

191 underlying dynamics.

192  **3.3 Model Analysis**

193 Eigenvalues (*λ*) are calculated from the matrix and future populations can be predicted by multiplying

194 an initial population vector to incrementally higher powers of our matrix where the power of the matrix

195 corresponds to the time length of the projection. The initial population vector used is the blue octopus data

196 collected in the final month of data collection from Raberinary & Benbow (2012). This month of data is

197 not included in the parameterization of the model as it occurred after a temporary closure that was being

198 tested at the time. We perform sensitivity analysis on the population matrix and eigenvalues using the r

199 package popbio (Stubben & Milligan, 2007). Further, as all of the parameters are scaled to a value between

200 0 and 1 except *F*4, the different order of magnitude of these parameters have a lower proportional effect

201 on the eigenvalue than *F*4. To address this, we also conduct elasticity analysis using the popbio package

202 (Stubben & Milligan, 2007). This allows us to identify the groups within this octopus population whose

203 protection will most benefit population growth, essentially creating focus points of conservation. The results

204 of sensitivity and elasticity analysis are included in the supplementary material. Other life history traits

205 that can be calculated from this matrix are stable stage distribution, reproductive value of each stage, and

206 per-stage survivability. We also use the R package Rage (Jones et al., 2021) to calculate the age in each

207 stage, life expectancy and longevity, the age and probability of reaching maturity, and generation time of

208 this population. We then used the Rage package in R to analyze various life history traits of this matrix,

209 the output of which is included in the supplementary material.

210 Finally, we calculate the minimum survivability increase necessary per stage to result in an increase of the

211 overall population. We do this by increasing the *Pi* and *Gi* parameters by increasing percentages in each

212 stage i until the overall eigenvalue (*λ*) became greater than one.

213  **3.4 Management Scenarios**

214 In order to determine optimal conservation strategies, we alter the survivability of *O. cyanea* by different

215 rates from 0-10% survival increase of the species. 10% is the maximum survival increase used because

216 increasing the overall survivability of matrix by more than 10% would result in some stages reaching a

217 survivability of more than 1, implying that the stage would somehow be multiplying itself within a month

218 timestep. We therefore limit survival increases to a maximum of 10% to stay within biologically meaningful

219 parameters. Then, we simulate different closure scenarios for each survival increase by altering the length

220 of annual closures by month using the final month of data collected by Raberinary & Benbow (2012) as the

221 initial population vector, this is multiplied to higher powers of the original matrix during months that are

222 simulated to be “open fishing” and then when a closure was simulated, the matrix with increased survival

223 was multiplied to the population for that month. We simulated these different scenarios in order to analyze

224 all combinations of conservation strategies that result in stable *O. cyanea* populations.

Table 1: Existing research and information on the per-stage duration of *O. cyanea*. All existing estimates are from Heukelem (1973), Heukelem (1976), Guard & Mgaya (2003), Humber et al. (2006), Aina (2009). Note: Heukelem (1976) estimate the time to maturity to be 10-13 months (i.e. stages 1-3 combined). Equations used to estimate metrics from this Lefkovitch Matrix are outlined in Barot et al. (2002).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stage | Existing Estimated Duration | Estimate from Lekfovitch  Matrix (Months) | Standard Deviation of Estimate (Months) |
| Egg | 20-35 days | NA | NA |
| Larval | 28-56 days | NA | NA |
| 1: Immature | No existing estimate | 2.699666 | 2.1420858 |
| 2: Incipient Maturity | No existing estimate | 1.474724 | 0.8367118 |
| 3: Mature | No existing estimate | 1.646790 | 1.0320502 |
| 4: Fully Mature | No existing estimate | 1.494651 | 0.8598431 |
| 5: Post Laying | 45-61 days | NA | NA |
| Post Larval Phase (Stage 1-5) | 9-18 months | NA | NA |

225  **4 RESULTS**

226 The resulting eigenvalue of our matrix is 0.982, indicating a population decline of 1.8% per month with

227 fishing pressure included (Figure [3).](#_bookmark3) The stable stage distribution (Table [2)](#_bookmark4) shows that 65% of the makeup

228 of this population is immature individuals, while actively breeding individuals (fully mature) only make up

229 less than 1% of the naturally occurring population. However, the reproductive output per stage (Table [2)](#_bookmark4)

230 shows that on average, an individual in this fully mature population is expected to have 41 times the number

231 of offspring as those in stage 1. Larval survivability of 0.0001328 is calculated by dividing our estimated

232 number of larvae surviving back to stage 1 (*F*4) by 201,000 - the average estimated reproductive output of

233 *O. cyanea* by (Guard, 2009). The life expectancy of this population is calculated by the Rage package to

234 be 4.06 months with a standard deviation of 2.42 months. The calculated age of maturity is 6.82 months

235 with probability of reaching maturation of 0.022. The longevity of this population (the amount of months

236 for only 1% of the population to remain) is 12 months with a generation time of 7.38 months.

237 Changing the survivability of each stage (Figure [4)](#_bookmark5) shows that immature individuals (Stage 1) would need

238 the smallest amount (5%) of survival increase in order to result in overall population growth. Stage 4, on

239 the other hand, requires a survivability increase of 25% in order to create a viable population.

240 Our analysis of different closure scenarios (Figure [5)](#_bookmark6) indicates closures two months in length or shorter may

241 be ineffective in ensuring a stable population, regardless of how much these closures decreased the death

242 rate of the species. Further, as our baseline growth rate is close to stable (-0.0184), it took a maximum of a


243 7.5% increase in the survivability of the population to ensure a sustainable population when utilizing three

244 month closures. This analysis (Figure [5)](#_bookmark6) provides all the possible combinations of increased survival rates

245 and frequency of closures that will result in a stable population. Suggested changes in overall survivability

246 range from 2-7.5%, and the ranges of frequencies of closures span from permanent closure (every month) to

247 once every three months.

150

100

No. Individuals

Stage 1

Stage 2

Stage 3

Stage 4

50

0

2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018 2020 2022 2024

# Year

Figure 3: Projection of *O. cyanea* population based off of our calculated Lefkovitch matrix through the present. This does not reflect actual populations of blue octopus over time, but the predictions from our model given no action is taken to relieve fishing pressure.

248  **5 DISCUSSION**

249 Our calculated growth rate of -0.0184 and resulting population projection supports previous reports of

250 overfishing at the time of data collection in 2006 (Humber et al., 2006; Benbow et al., 2014). With this

251 negative growth rate, our models suggest that, without changes to management practices, the octopus

252 population may have continued to decline. In addition, according to our model, any closure less than three

253 months, without additional management actions, may not be effective in conserving blue octopus stocks.

254 However, given data and model limitations, we do not have a measurement of uncertainty for the growth.

255 Thus, caution should be taken when considering whether the octopus population was actually in decline or

256 not in 2006. We describe this limitation more below. In general, declines in octopus populations presents an

Table 2: Stable stage distribution and reproductive value of each stage of this blue octopus population matrix given in Figure [2.](#_bookmark1) The survivability (i.e. the proportion of individuals who survive from stage i to stage i+1) in each stage includes death rate from fishing. Stages 1-4 survivability were calculated by summing up the proportion of individuals surviving and staying within a stage every month (*Pi*) and the proportion of individuals surviving and growing every month (*Gi*). Larval survivability of 0.0001328 was calculated by dividing our estimated number of larvae surviving back to stage 1 (*F*4) by the average estimated reproductive output of *O. cyanea*.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stage | Stable Stage Distribution (Dominant Eigenvector) | Reproductive Value (Left | Survivability |
| 1 Immature | 0.657 | 1.000 | 0.9048003 |
| 2 Incipient Maturity | 0.274 | 1.279 | 0.4519657 |
| 3 Mature | 0.061 | 6.491 | 0.4859363 |
| 4 Fully Mature | 0.009 | 41.029 | 0.3309474 |

Eigenvector)

30

20 Stage

Percent survival increase

Larval 1

2

3

10 4

0

Larval 1 2 3 4

# Stage

Figure 4: Minimum percent of per-stage survivability change needed to create population increase. Each stage was increased by higher percentages until the eigenvalue of the overall system became greater than zero.

10.0

Pop

ulation Decreasing

**Population Increasing**

7.5

Percent change in survival

# Eigenvalue

1.050



5.0

1.025

1.000

2.5

0.0

1 3 6 9 12

# Length of closure (months)

Figure 5: Analysis of different management scenarios. The black line separates the scenarios that succeed in sustaining the population from the scenarios that don’t. Green and white squares indicate theoretically successful management scenarios where red refers to the strategies that will not result in overall population growth.

257 economic issue for individual fishers as their catch will become less lucrative. Octopus population recovery

258 has been shown to result in economic gains from fishers in this community (Humber et al., 2006; Benbow

259 et al., 2014; Oliver et al., 2015). Further, sale prices on opening day tend to increase as buyers are typically

260 guaranteed larger catch (Oliver et al., 2015).

261 However, since the time of data collection, there have been a number of important changes to fisheries

262 management in the region that explains the discrepancy between our model and robust octopus populations

263 in many areas of Madagascar (Oliver et al., 2015; Roa-Ureta, 2022). For example, temporary closures in

264 this fishery (Oliver et al., 2015) showed that extending the regional closure beyond the conventional six

265 weeks increased octopus catch. Further, a 2-3 month closure was suggested for this area in 2011 in order

266 to maximize catch-per unit effort (Benbow & Harris, 2011). Benbow et al. (2014) demonstrated that a

267 20-week closure had similar positive effects on octopus catch when compared to a seven month closure, yet

268 resulted in less strain on fisheries management investment than the longer seven month closure. Individual

269 villages also institute their own closures. These closures span 2-7 months and restrict fishing in ~20% of

270 the fishery’s spatial extent, so some fishing is still allowed to occur during this time. (Rocliffe & Harris,

271 2015, 2016; WWF, 2017). Therefore, the changes to survivability suggested by our analysis is in relation

272 to their overall death rate not fishing rate, indicating a need for further research on the spatial structure of

273 this population. Our analysis of different closure scenarios suggests a range of the simplest actions needed

274 in order to ensure sustainability of this population, and show how the relationship between closure lengths

275 and their effect on mortality rates can result in multiple different temporary closures that can successfully

276 conserve a fishery. Thus, despite the simplicity of our model, our findings for possible closure lengths is

277 very close to those currently practiced in Madagascar and elsewhere. As we describe later, more realistic

278 extensions of this model can be built to guide specific management practices.

279 When implemented deliberately, establishing periodic closures is an effective and commonly-used strategy in

280 sustainable fishing practices (Humber et al., 2006; Oliver et al., 2015). As Madagascar has been committed

281 to protecting its marine natural resources through increasing the number of marine parks, this study serves

282 to highlight some of the available strategies to make population predictions and conservation strategies with

283 limited data sources (Westlund, 2017). Implementing fishing restrictions without regard for social norms

284 can undermine cultural practices and in turn be detrimental to both the people and fishery, and halts the

285 dissemination of traditional ecological knowledge (Okafor-Yarwood et al., 2022). For this reason, both the

286 Madagascar government and scientific community has found a new emphasis on studying the complex social

287 structures within the community in question in order to more effectively conserve resources along with

288 peoples’ livelihoods (Billé & Mermet, 2002; Baker-Médard et al., 2021). This has been shown to increase

289 participation in conservation practices, therefore making them more effective.

290 Our model provides other information about the life history of this population as well, beyond its overall

291 growth rate. As each column in the matrix represents a proportion of individuals within a stage either growing

292 or staying within a stage (with the exception of the *F*4 parameter), it also shows a per-stage survivability

293 estimate (Table [2)](#_bookmark4) and stage duration (Table [1),](#_bookmark2) life history parameters on which there has been no previous

294 research. However, as the immature stage has a high survivability of 90.4% and a longer duration than

295 the other stages of 2.7 months, this indicates that although the fishing method employed in this region

296 does not distinguish by octopus size, fishers are not bringing this smaller catch to landing due to size limits

297 preventing them from selling immature individuals (Humber et al., 2006). Therefore, this could challenge

298 our assumption of the data being properly stratified by size. Further, as *O. Cyanea* have an approximately

299 one to two month larval stage (Guard & Mgaya, 2003), the fecundity parameter does not indicate the overall

300 reproductive output of mature individuals, but the number of hatched offspring that will survive its larval

301 stage and back the immature stage. This gives an estimation for larval survivability as female octopus have a

302 fecundity ranging between 27,000 and 375,000 eggs (Guard, 2009), our model indicates that only an average

303 of 26.7 individuals will survive back into immaturity, which indicates a survivability of 0.0001328. There

304 is no other larval survivability estimation that currently exists for this species, which would be a useful

305 further study as this could indicate a recruitment rate for this population. Further, an average lifespan of

306 4.06 months and an age of maturation of 6.82 months indicates that most individuals die before reaching

307 maturation. The overall natural mortality rate of this population has been estimated to range from 0.0127

308 per week (0.0552 per month) to 0.0498 per week (0.2164 per month) (Roa-Ureta, 2022). However, this was

309 not included in our model of fishery closures as the local closures do not cover the full spatial extent of

310 the fishery, have variable spatial extents, and some fishing continues during this time, meaning some fishing

311 mortality exists during closures (Oliver et al., 2015). Instead, we compared closures to their overall effect on

312 the *O. cyanea* mortality rate.

313 The mechanistic methods used in this study allowed us to gain a baseline understanding of the growth rate

314 and mortality of this population despite the limited data used to parameterize the model. Limitations of

315 this study include the data collection process as this model is only parameterized using one year of data.

316 Although this is not enough data to conduct a full stock assessment, this speaks to the utility of mechanistic

317 modeling, where we are able to estimate population patterns and other life history traits despite this lack

318 of data. A future study that repeats this method of data collection could rerun this same model with

319 the updated data, and make further conclusions about the status of this fishery today. Even though data

320 collections occurred daily within a two-hour window, catch was not standardized by effort and therefore

321 there could be catch fluctuations between months that are not captured in the data. Further, as stage 1 had

322 a high survival rate yet low duration, this challenges the assumption that the octopus caught are an accurate

323 ratio of the octopus at each stage in the wild. Another shortcoming of this study is that the only available

324 stage data for this species and region was collected in 2006, and the community of southwest Madagascar

325 has implemented several strategies since that time to improve the sustainability of their fish stocks in the

326 region (Humber et al., 2006; Raberinary & Benbow, 2012). Due to the time of data collection, this study

327 does not reflect the current status of *Octopus cyanea*, nor should the findings of this study be implemented

328 in current management decisions. Instead, this study outlines what biological parameters can be estimated

329 from limited data using mechanistic modeling and show how temporary closures are not only an effective

330 method of conservation, but also provide communities with options for effective management and these

331 should be selected based off of the needs of stakeholders. As the community of southwest Madagascar has

332 been involved in deciding when closures should occur and their lengths, this study serves to show the various

333 options available (Benbow & Harris, 2011).

334 We made a number of simplifying assumptions in our models of the biology of the study species. For example,

335 our models assume that all individuals within a stage are subject to the same growth and mortality rates.

336 As this study uses data collected from a large geographic range (Raberinary & Benbow, 2012), different

337 individuals nesting in different regions may be subject to different selective pressures. Studies on the spatial

338 variability of this population could better inform both our model and the greater understanding of how

339 fishing mortality of this population compares to its natural mortality. Further, this population of blue

340 octopus has been shown to exhibit spatial variability depending on their life stage. Younger individuals tend

341 to live in the shallow inner zone of the reef and larger individuals, who are more able to withstand stronger

342 currents, move to deeper waters for more suitable habitats for nesting (Raberinary, 2007). Our management

343 scenario analysis also assumes that each lifestage would be affected equally by a closure, which could be

344 challenged by the previous result that fishers are not bringing smaller catch to landing due to the size limits.

345 Parameters were not extracted from a distribution curve, so adding this to future research could further

346 help explain the uncertainty in octopus dynamics and better model the high variability in populations.

347 Despite these limitations, the data provided is the best data available for fitting a Lefkovitch matrix to this

348 species. Future extensions of this work could include applying this method to a data rich fishery, where the

349 conclusions of the model can be compared to empirical data. Further, valuable future research could explore

350 the dynamics of both sexes in the population (Gerber & White, 2014) as male octopus have different growth

351 rates and spatial dynamics (Heukelem, 1976). A better understanding of the seasonal breeding dynamics

352 of this population of blue octopus could also give better insight into the health of this fishery (White &

353 Hastings, 2020). Cephalopod juveniles (a key life stage in understanding future population dynamics) often

354 have two seasonal peaks per year, indicating biannual spawning periods (Humber et al., 2006; Katsanevakis

355 & Verriopoulos, 2006). This is related to seasonal fluctuations in temperature, as cephalopod growth is

356 related to environmental temperature (Domain et al., 2000). However, this relationship is subject to a lot of

357 variation (Heukelem, 1976; Herwig et al., 2012). Further, as Madagascar is a tropical climate, this trend may

358 be different in our region of study, as suggested by Raberinary & Benbow (2012), where all life stages of O.

359 cyanea were observed year round, suggesting continuous breeding. A better understanding the seasonality

360 of this population could further inform when closures should take place.

361  **6 CONCLUSIONS**

362 With a short generation time, cephalopod species respond more quickly to new management strategies. A

363 more contemporary study on the status of the octopus fishery of southwest Madagascar will paint a more

364 complete picture of how this population is faring under the current fishing pressure. As a population with

365 highly variable population dynamics, continuous monitoring of landings, fishing effort, and where catch is

366 found is extremely valuable in understanding the status of *Octopus cyanea* in Madagascar. Similar data

367 has been collected by Blue Ventures on this fishery since 2015 and shows there has been an improvement to

368 this fishery since 2006 due to local efforts, including temporary closures. Further, this collection effort does

369 not include maturity data which would improve the analysis of this study through incorporation of multiple

370 years of catch data (Roa-Ureta, 2022). Finally, as the people of southwestern Madagascar are actively taking

371 steps to conserve the health of their fisheries, we hope that studies such as these can serve to facilitate the

372 understanding of what options are available when choosing how and when to impose fishing restrictions. We

373 also hope that future work can build on our models to be more realistic for this system and produce specific

374 management guidance.

375 *Acknowledgements* - The authors would like to thank the National Science Foundation for the funding on

376 this project [grant number 1923707]. We would also like to thank Dr. Sophie Benbow for not only collecting

377 the data on which paper was written, but also her help in contextualizing research and answering questions

378 about data collection.

379 *Data Availability* - All supplemental material and code for this project are available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/swulfing/OCyanea)

380 [swulfing/OCyanea](https://github.com/swulfing/OCyanea). All data used to parameterize this model was collected in Raberinary & Benbow (2012)

381 **References**

382 Aina, T. A. N. (2009). *Management of octopus fishery off south west Madagascar*.

383 Baker-Médard, M. (2017). Gendering Marine Conservation: The Politics of Marine Protected Areas and

384 Fisheries Access. *Society & Natural Resources*, *30* (6), 723–737. [https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2016.1257078)

385 [1257078](https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2016.1257078)

386 Baker-Médard, M., Gantt, C., & White, E. R. (2021). Classed conservation: Socio-economic drivers of

387 participation in marine resource management. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *124*, 156–162. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.06.007)

388 [//doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.06.007)

389 Barot, S., Gignoux, J., & Legendre, S. (2002). Stage-classified matrix models and age estimates. *Oikos*,

390 *96* (1), 56–61. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.960106.x>

391 Benbow, S., & Harris, A. (2011). *Managing Madagascar’s octopus fisheries. Proceedingsof the workshop on*

392 *Octopuscyanea fisheries, 5-6 April 2011, Toliara*. Blue Ventures Conservation Report.

393 Benbow, S., Humber, F., Oliver, T., Oleson, K., Raberinary, D., Nadon, M., Ratsimbazafy, H., & Harris, A.

394 (2014). Lessons learnt from experimental temporary octopus fishing closures in south-west Madagascar:

395 Benefits of concurrent closures. *African Journal of Marine Science*, *36* (1), 31–37. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2989/1814232X.2014.893256)

396 [2989/1814232X.2014.893256](https://doi.org/10.2989/1814232X.2014.893256)

397 Bethoney, N. D., & Cleaver, C. (2019). A Comparison of Drop Camera and Diver Survey Methods to

398 Monitor Atlantic Sea Scallops (Placopecten magellanicus) in a Small Fishery Closure. *Journal of Shellfish*

399 *Research*, *38* (1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.038.0104>

400 Billé, R., & Mermet, L. (2002). Integrated coastal management at the regional level: Lessons from Toliary,

401 Madagascar. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *45* (1), 41–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(02)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(02)00048-0)

402 [00048-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(02)00048-0)

403 Briggs-Gonzalez, V., Bonenfant, C., Basille, M., Cherkiss, M., Beauchamp, J., & Mazzotti, F. (2016). Life

404 histories and conservation of long-lived reptiles, an illustration with the American crocodile (Crocodylus

405 acutus). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *1365* (2656.12723), 12.

406 Camp, E. V., Poorten, B. T. van, & Walters, C. J. (2015). Evaluating Short Openings as a Management Tool

407 to Maximize Catch-Related Utility in Catch-and-Release Fisheries. *North American Journal of Fisheries*

408 *Management*, *35* (6), 1106–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02755947.2015.1083495>

409 Caswell, H. (2001). *Matrix population models: Construction, analysis, and interpretation*. Sinauer Asso-

410 ciates.

411 Catalán, I. A., Jiménez, M. T., Alconchel, J. I., Prieto, L., & Muñoz, J. L. (2006). Spatial and temporal

412 changes of coastal demersal assemblages in the Gulf of Cadiz (SW Spain) in relation to environmental

413 conditions. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *53* (11-13), 1402–1419. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.04.005)

414 [//doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.04.005)

415 Cohen, P. J., & Foale, S. J. (2013). Sustaining small-scale fisheries with periodically harvested marine

416 reserves. *Marine Policy*, *37*, 278–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2012.05.010>

417 Crouse, D. T., Crowder, L. B., & Caswell, H. (1987). A Stage-Based Population Model for Loggerhead Sea

418 Turtles and Implications for Conservation. *Ecology*, *68* (5), 1412–1423. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1939225>

419 Domain, F., Jouffre, D., & Caverivière, A. (2000). Growth of *Octopus Vulgaris* from tagging in Senegalese

420 waters. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, *80* (4), 699–705. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400002526)

421 [//doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400002526](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400002526)

422 Emery, T. J., Hartmann, K., & Gardner, C. (2016). Management issues and options for small scale

423 holobenthic octopus fisheries. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *120*, 180–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.12.004)

424 [j.ocecoaman.2015.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.12.004)

425 Gerber, L. R., & White, E. R. (2014). Two-sex matrix models in assessing population viability: When do male

426 dynamics matter? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *51* (1), 270–278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12177>

427 Gharouni, A., Barbeau, M., Locke, A., Wang, L., & Watmough, J. (2015). Sensitivity of invasion speed

428 to dispersal and demography: An application of spreading speed theory to the green crab invasion on

429 the northwest Atlantic coast. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *541*, 135–150. [https://doi.org/10.3354/](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11508)

430 [meps11508](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11508)

431 Gilchrist, H., Rocliffe, S., Anderson, L. G., & Gough, C. L. A. (2020). Reef fish biomass recovery within

432 community-managed no take zones. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *192*, 105210. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105210)

433 [1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105210](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105210)

434 Gnanalingam, G., & Hepburn, C. (2015). Flexibility in temporary fisheries closure legislation is required to

435 maximise success. *Marine Policy*, *61*, 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2015.06.033>

436 Govan, H. (2010). Status and potential of locally-managed marine areas in the South Pacific: *Munich*

437 *Personal RePEc Archive*, *23828*.

438 Guard, M. (2009). *Biology and fisheries status of octopus in the Western Indian Ocean and the Suitability*

439 *for marine stewardship council certification* (p. 22). e United Nations Environment Programme.

440 Guard, M., & Mgaya, Y. D. (2003). The Artisanal Fishery for Octopus cyanea Gray in Tanzania. *AMBIO:*

441 *A Journal of the Human Environment*, *31* (7), 528–536. <https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.7.528>

442 Hancke, L., Roberts, M. J., & Ternon, J. F. (2014). Surface drifter trajectories highlight flow pathways

443 in the Mozambique Channel. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *100*, 27–37.

444 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.10.014>

445 Herwig, J. N., Depczynski, M., Roberts, J. D., Semmens, J. M., Gagliano, M., & Heyward, A. J. (2012).

446 Using Age-Based Life History Data to Investigate the Life Cycle and Vulnerability of Octopus cyanea.

447 *PLoS ONE*, *7* (8), e43679. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043679>

448 Heukelem, W. F. V. (1973). Growth and life-span of Octopus cyanea (Mollusca: Cephalopoda)\*. *Journal*

449 *of Zoology*, *169* (3), 299–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1973.tb04559.x>

450 Heukelem, W. F. V. (1976). Growth, bioenergetics, and life-span of Octopus cyanea and Octopus maya. *A*

451 *Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate Division of the University of Hawaii in Partial Fulfillment of the*

452 *Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Zoology*, 232.

453 Humber, F., Harris, A., Raberinary, D., & Nadon, M. (2006). *Seasonal Closures of No-Take Zones to*

454 *promote A Sustainable Fishery for Octopus Cyanea (Gray) in South West Madagascar.* Blue Ventures

455 Conservation Report.

456 Ibáñez, C. M., Braid, H. E., Carrasco, S. A., López-Córdova, D. A., Torretti, G., & Camus, P. A. (2019).

457 Zoogeographic patterns of pelagic oceanic cephalopods along the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Journal of*

458 *Biogeography*, *46* (6), 1260–1273. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13588>

459 Jensen, O. P., Ortega-Garcia, S., Martell, S. J. D., Ahrens, R. N. M., Domeier, M. L., Walters, C. J., &

460 Kitchell, J. F. (2010). Local management of a “highly migratory species”: The effects of long-line closures

461 and recreational catch-and-release for Baja California striped marlin fisheries. *Progress in Oceanography*,

462 *86* (1-2), 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2010.04.020>

463 Jones, O. R., Barks, P., Stott, I. M., James, T. D., Levin, S. C., Petry, W. K., Capdevila, P., Che-Castaldo,

464 J., Jackson, J., Römer, G., Schuette, C., Thomas, C. C., & Salguero-Gómez, R. (2021). Rcompadre

465 and rage - two R packages to facilitate the use of the COMPADRE and COMADRE databases and

466 calculation of life history traits from matrix population models. *bioRxiv*, 2021.04.26.441330. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.26.441330)

467 [//doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.26.441330](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.26.441330)

468 Katikiro, R. E., Macusi, E. D., & Ashoka Deepananda, K. H. M. (2015). Challenges facing local communities

469 in Tanzania in realising locally-managed marine areas. *Marine Policy*, *51*, 220–229. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.08.004)

470 [1016/j.marpol.2014.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.08.004)

471 Katsanevakis, S., & Verriopoulos, G. (2006). Seasonal population dynamics of Octopus vulgaris in the

472 eastern Mediterranean. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *63* (1), 151–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.07.004)

473 [icesjms.2005.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.07.004)

474 Kawaka, J. A., Samoilys, M. A., Murunga, M., Church, J., Abunge, C., & Maina, G. W. (2017). Developing

475 locally managed marine areas: Lessons learnt from Kenya. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *135*, 1–10.

476 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.10.013>

477 Langley, J. (2005). *The 2004-2005 census of Andavadoaka, southwest Madagascar*.

478 Laroche, J., Razanoelisoa, J., Fauroux, E., & Rabenevanana, M. W. (1997). The reef fisheries surrounding

479 the south-west coastal cities of Madagascar. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, *4* (4), 285–299. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.1997.00051.x)

480 [//doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.1997.00051.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.1997.00051.x)

481 Leslie, P. H. (1945). *On the Use of Matrices in Certain Population Mathematics*. 31.

482 Lutjeharms, J. R. E., Biastoch, A., Van der Werf, P. M., Ridderinkhof, H., & De Ruijter, W. P. M. (2012).

483 On the discontinuous nature of the Mozambique Current. *South African Journal of Science*, *108* (1/2),

484 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajs.v108i1/2.428>

485 Mayol, T. (2013). Madagascar’s nascent locally managed marine area network. *Madagascar Conservation*

486 *& Development*, *8* (2), 91–95. <https://doi.org/10.4314/mcd.v8i2.8>

487 McClanahan, T. R. (2008). Response of the coral reef benthos and herbivory to fishery closure management

488 and the 1998 ENSO disturbance. *Oecologia*, *155* (1), 169–177. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0890-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0890-0)

489 [0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0890-0)

490 Nowlis, J. S. (2000). Short- and long-term effects of three fishery-management tools on depleted fisheries.

491 *BULLETIN OF MARINE SCIENCE*, *66* (3), 12.

492 Okafor-Yarwood, I., Kadagi, N. I., Belhabib, D., & Allison, E. H. (2022). Survival of the Richest, not the

493 Fittest: How attempts to improve governance impact African small-scale marine fisheries. *Marine Policy*,

494 *135*, 104847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2021.104847>

495 Oliver, T. A., Oleson, K. L. L., Ratsimbazafy, H., Raberinary, D., Benbow, S., & Harris, A. (2015). Positive

496 Catch & Economic Benefits of Periodic Octopus Fishery Closures: Do Effective, Narrowly Targeted Ac-

497 tions “Catalyze” Broader Management? *PLOS ONE*, *10* (6), e0129075. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129075)

498 [pone.0129075](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129075)

499 Raberinary, D. (2007). *Periode de ponte du poulpe (Octopus cyanea) D’Andavadoaka dans la region sud oest*

500 *de Madagascar*. Blue Ventures Conservation.

501 Raberinary, D., & Benbow, S. (2012). The reproductive cycle of Octopus cyanea in southwest Madagascar

502 and implications for fisheries management. *Fisheries Research*, *125–126*, 190–197. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.02.025)

503 [1016/j.fishres.2012.02.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.02.025)

504 Roa-Ureta, R. H. (2022). *Stock Assessment of Octopus cyanea in the fishery of Southwest Madagascar, 2015*

505 *to 2020* (p. 26). Blue Ventures.

506 Rocliffe, S., & Harris, A. (2015). *Scaling success in octopus fisheries management in the Western Indian*

507 *Ocean. Proceedings of the workshop, 3-5 December 2014, Stone Town, Zanzibar*. Blue Ventures.

508 Rocliffe, S., & Harris, A. (2016). *Blue Ventures Report: Experiences of periodic closures in small-scale*

509 *invertebrate fisheries April 2016*. Blue Ventures.

510 Rodhouse, P. G., & Nigmatullin, M. (1996). Role as consumers. *Royal Society Publishing*, 20. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1996.0090)

511 [//doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1996.0090](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1996.0090)

512 Santos, M. B., Clarke, M. R., & Pierce, G. J. (2001). Assessing the importance of cephalopods in the diets of

513 marine mammals and other top predators: Problems and solutions. *Fisheries Research*, *52* (1-2), 121–139.

514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(01)00236-3>

515 Schott, F. A., & McCreary, J. P. (2001). The monsoon circulation of the Indian Ocean. *Progress in*

516 *Oceanography*, *51* (1), 1–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(01)00083-0>

517 Stubben, C. J., & Milligan, B. G. (2007). Estimating and analyzing demographic models using the popbio

518 package in r. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *22* (11).

519 The World Bank. (2012). *HIDDEN HARVEST-The Global Contribution of Capture Fisheries* (66469-

520 GLB). The World Bank. [https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/515701468152718292/pdf/](https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/515701468152718292/pdf/664690ESW0P1210120HiddenHarvest0web.pdf)

521 [664690ESW0P1210120HiddenHarvest0web.pdf](https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/515701468152718292/pdf/664690ESW0P1210120HiddenHarvest0web.pdf)

522 Turlach, B. A., & Weingessel, A. (2019). *Quadprog: Functions to solve quadratic programming problems*.

523 [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=quadprog](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package%3Dquadprog)

524 Van Nieuwenhove, A. H. M., Ratsimbazafy, H. A., & Kochzius, M. (2019). Cryptic diversity and limited

525 connectivity in octopuses: Recommendations for fisheries management. *PLOS ONE*, *14* (5), e0214748.

526 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214748>

527 Vase, V. K., Koya, M. K., Dash, G., Dash, S., Sreenath, K. R., Divu, D., Kumar, R., Rahangdale, S.,

528 Pradhan, R. K., Azeez, A., Sukhdhane, K. S., & Jayshree, K. G. (2021). Acetes as a Keystone Species

529 in the Fishery and Trophic Ecosystem Along Northeastern Arabian Sea. *Thalassas: An International*

530 *Journal of Marine Sciences*, *37* (1), 367–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41208-020-00276-y>

531 Wells, M. J., & Wells, J. (1970). Observations on the feeding, growth rate and habits of newly settled *Octopus*

532 *Cyanea*. *Journal of Zoology*, *161* (1), 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1970.tb02170.x>

533 Westerman, K., & Benbow, S. (2014). The Role of Women in Community-based Small-Scale Fisheries

534 Management: The Case of the South West Madagascar Octopus Fishery. *Western Indian Ocean Journal*

535 *of Marine Science*, *12* (2), 119–132.

536 Westlund, L. (Ed.). (2017). *Marine protected areas: Interactions with fishery livelihoods and food security*.

537 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

538 White, E. R., & Hastings, A. (2020). Seasonality in ecology: Progress and prospects in theory. *Ecological*

539 *Complexity*, *44*, 100867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2020.100867>

540 WWF. (2017). Abundant octopus on the Mahafaly coast. *World Wildlife Fund*.